

EBASCO

RFEV21-EDEN-EGRF-M-142

Thursday, April 2, 1992

Ms. Donna Murray
Rocky Flats Plant
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.
P.O. Box 464
Golden, Colorado 80402-0464

Subject: BA 71785PB, Requirements of Contract Deliverable Documents and
Request for Schedule Extension: Implementation of Phase III RFI/RI Work
Plan OU1 (881 Hillside)

Dear Ms. Murray:

This is to notify you of technical constraints limiting EBASCO's ability to provide certain contract deliverable documents which will meet all of the stipulated requirements under the above listed contract within the existing deliverable schedule, and our request for extension of the deliverable schedule. The schedule for delivery of the Draft Phase III RFI/RI Report to EG&G and DOE is June 2, 1992, while the schedule for delivery of the Draft Final Phase III RFI/RI Report to EPA and CDH is July 30, 1992 (an IAG deliverable date). Our contract requirements include implementing the Final Phase III RFI/RI Work Plan and meeting all of the stipulations of the supporting documents including the RFP Site-wide Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP), the Quality Assurance Addendum (QAA) 1.1 for OU 1, and the RFP Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). These documents are all IAG deliverable documents and the standards, requirements, and stipulations set forth in them are directly tied to requirements in the IAG.

The key issue which limits our ability to meet the contractual obligations is the availability of EG&G validated data. The use of validated data is an explicit requirement of the IAG and all of the documents listed above which set the specifications of this work. Section VI.B.5 of IAG Attachment 2 - Federal Facility Agreement Statement of Work specifies that this work shall be based only on validated data. Validated data meeting the Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) are not available at this time and a complete data set is not expected to be available in the near future. It is permissible under the Work Plan to use unvalidated data for some applications, but only for qualitative estimates of contamination. Up to this point, in order to expedite progress on the RI data evaluation and Public Health Evaluation (PHE) Contaminants of Concern (COC) determination tasks, the EBASCO Team has been using a combination of the available parts of the validated data set for soils, groundwater, surface water and sediments from previous investigations and the partial set of unvalidated data as it becomes available in

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

143 UNION BOULEVARD, SUITE 1010 • LAKEWOOD, CO 80228-1824 • (303) 988-2202

ADMIN RECORD

A-OU01-000341

REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCN

By [Signature]

Date 5/12/92

April 2, 1992

Page 2

increments from the analytical laboratories. However, should the EBASCO Team use unvalidated data for applications more rigorous than estimates of contamination and task scoping we would be in nonconformance with the QA/QC requirements of the project and in conflict with our contractual obligations. At this time, we are formally requesting that the schedule for delivery of the Draft and Draft Final RFI/RI Reports be postponed sufficiently to incorporate the validated data necessary to meet the requirements of the IAG. We make this request with the knowledge that EG&G will in turn have to request an extension in the IAG schedule. However, without validated data, EBASCO cannot complete our contractual obligations in conformance with the task order contract or the IAG.

Please feel free to contact me should you require further information or discussion.

Sincerely yours,
Ebasco Services Incorporated



Charles L. Nylander
Project Manager

CLN/nc

cc: B. Wurster (EG&G)
P. Bungee (EG&G)
C. Gee (EG&G)
D. Smith (EG&G)
K. Turner (D&M)
M. Griswold
D. Cushing
DCC-RFEV
Chron-RFEV